

BEESEFER



Table of Contents



Charleston County

ROADWISE

CHAPTER ONE: Purpose and Need

1.1	Project Description and History	1- 1
1.1.1	Overview	1- 1
1.2	Project Purpose and Need	1- 5
1.2.1	Improving Traffic Flow and Increasing Safety	1- 5
1.2.1.A	Traffic Flow	1- 6
1.2.1.B	Safety	1- 12
1.2.2	Providing Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities	1- 13
1.3	Summary	1- 15

CHAPTER TWO: Alternatives

2.1	Alternative Development	2- 1
2.1.1	Design Criteria	2- 1
2.1.2	Logical Termini	2- 1
2.2	Alternatives	2- 2
2.2.1	No- build Alternative	2- 2
2.2.2	Build Alternatives	2- 2
2.2.2.A	<i>Alternative One (Additional Through Lane in Each Direction with Landscaped Median)</i>	2- 2
2.2.2.B	<i>Alternative Two (Addition of Through Lane in Each Direction with Center Turn Lane for Length of Project)</i>	2- 4
2.2.2.C	<i>Alternative Three (Additional Through Lane in Each Direction – Median/Center Turn Lane Hybrid)</i>	2- 4

2.2.3	Alternatives Considered but Eliminated	2- 6
2.3	Alternative Comparison	2- 6
2.3.1	Alternatives One and Two	2- 7
2.3.2	Alternative Three	2- 7
2.4	Preferred Alternative	2- 7
2.4.1	Alternative Selection	2- 10
2.4.2	Intersection Improvements	2- 11
2.4.3	Bridge Replacements	2- 14
2.4.4	Summary	2- 14

CHAPTER THREE: Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences

3.1	Introduction	3- 1
3.2	Topography and Location	3- 1
3.3	Land Use	3- 1
3.3.1	Existing Land Use	3- 1
3.3.2	Future Land Use	3- 3
3.3.3	Impacts to Land Use	3- 4
3.3.4	Minimization of Land Use Impacts	3- 5
3.4	Farmland and Soils	3- 5
3.4.1	Existing Conditions	3- 5
3.4.2	Impacts to Farmland and Soils	3- 7
3.5	Cultural Resources	3- 7

3.5.1	Archeological and Architectural Resources	3- 7
3.5.2	National Scenic Byway	3- 10
3.6	Section 4(f)	3- 11
3.7	Section 6(f)	3- 12
3.8	Grand Trees	3- 12
3.9	Socioeconomics and Demographics	3- 14
3.9.1	Existing Conditions	3- 14
3.9.2	Impacts to Socioeconomics and Demographics	3- 19
3.10	Relocations and Business Impacts	3- 21
3.10.1	Relocations	3- 21
3.10.2	Business Impacts	3- 22
3.11	Noise	3- 23
3.11.1	Land Uses	3- 23
3.11.2	Analysis Methodology	3- 23
3.11.3	Determination of Ambient Noise Levels for Existing Activities	3- 25
3.11.4	Prediction of Future Traffic Noise Levels	3- 25
3.11.5	Comparison of Predicted Traffic Noise Levels to Existing Noise Levels	3- 27
3.11.6	Noise Model Calibration	3- 27
3.11.7	Evaluation of Noise Abatement Measures	3- 33
3.11.8	Final Noise Wall Analysis	3- 36
3.11.9	Conclusion	3- 39

3.12	Air Quality	3- 39
3.12.1	Information that is Unavailable or Incomplete	3- 42
3.12.1.A	Emissions	3- 42
3.12.1.B	Dispersion	3- 43
3.12.1.C	Exposure Levels and Health Effects	3- 43
3.12.2	Summary of Existing Credible Scientific Evidence Relevant to Evaluating the Impacts of MSATs	3- 44
3.12.3	Relevance of Unavailable or Incomplete Information to Evaluating Reasonably Foreseeable Significant Adverse Impacts on the Environment, and Evaluation of impacts based upon theoretical approaches or research methods generally accepted in the scientific community	3- 45
3.13	Hazardous Materials	3- 48
3.13.1	Existing Conditions	3- 49
3.13.2	Impacts	3- 50
3.14	Water Quality	3- 54
3.14.1	Ground Water	3- 54
3.14.2	Surface Water	3- 55
3.14.3	Drinking Water	3- 57
3.14.4	Impacts to Water Quality	3- 58
3.15	Wetlands and Jurisdictional Waters of the United States	3- 59
3.15.1	Definition	3- 59
3.15.2	Identification	3- 59
3.15.3	Classification	3- 60

3.15.3.A	Palustrine Systems	3- 63
3.15.3.B	Jurisdictional Ditches	3- 65
3.15.4	Streams	3- 66
3.15.5	Impacts to Wetlands and Jurisdictional Waters of the United States	3- 66
3.15.6	Mitigation	3- 66
3.15.6.A	Avoidance	3- 67
3.15.6.B	Minimization	3- 67
3.15.6.C	Compensation	3- 68
3.16	Upland Communities	3- 68
3.16.1	Mesic mixed hardwood forest	3- 68
3.16.2	Oak- hickory forest	3- 69
3.16.3	Disturbed areas	3- 69
3.16.4	Impacts to Upland Communities	3- 70
3.17	Federally Protected Species	3- 70
3.17.1	Federally Protected Species Descriptions and Survey Results	3- 70
3.17.1.A	Pondberry	3- 70
3.17.1.B	Canby's dropwort	3- 72
3.17.1.C	American chaffseed	3- 72
3.17.1.D	Bald eagle	3- 73
3.17.1.E	Red- cockaded woodpecker	3- 75
3.17.1.F	Wood stork	3- 75

3.17.1.G	Bachman’s warbler	3- 76
3.17.1.H	Kirtland’s warbler	3- 77
3.17.1.I	Flatwoods salamander	3- 77
3.17.1.J	Shortnose sturgeon	3- 78
3.17.2	Summary	3- 79
3.18	Waterbody Modification and Wildlife Impacts	3- 79
3.19	Floodplains	3- 80
3.20	Wild and Scenic Rivers	3- 82
3.21	Permits	3- 83
3.22	Construction Impacts	3- 84
3.22.1	Local Economy	3- 84
3.22.2	Air Quality	3- 85
3.22.3	Noise	3- 85
3.22.4	Water Quality	3- 86
3.23	Indirect and Cumulative Impacts	3- 86
3.23.1	Indirect Impacts	3- 86
3.23.1.A	Land Use	3- 87
3.23.1.B	Water Quality	3- 87
3.23.1.C	Wetlands	3- 87
3.23.2	Cumulative Impacts	3- 88
3.23.2.A	Land Use	3- 88
3.23.2.B	Water Quality	3- 89
3.23.2.C	Wetlands	3- 90

3.23.3	Summary	3- 91
--------	---------	-------

CHAPTER FOUR: Agency and Public Involvement

4.1	Agency Coordination	4- 1
4.2	Public Involvement	4- 2

CHAPTER FIVE: List of Preparers

5.1	Federal Highway Administration	5- 1
5.2	South Carolina Department of Transportation	5- 1
5.3	Charleston County	5- 1
5.4	Charleston County RoadWise	5- 1
5.5	The LPA Group Incorporated	5- 1
5.6	Brockington and Associates	5- 2
5.7	ARM Environmental Services, Inc.	5- 3
5.8	New Age Environmental	5- 3

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER ONE: Purpose and Need

Table 1.1:	2006 and 2030 Estimated AADT on Bees Ferry Road	1- 7
Table 1.2:	2006 Existing Traffic and Future 2030 No- build Traffic Capacity along the Mainline of Bees Ferry Road	1- 8
Table 1.3:	Level of Service Definitions for Signalized Intersections	1- 9
Table 1.4:	2006 Existing Traffic and Future 2030 No- build	1- 10

Traffic Level of Service and Average Delay at Intersections

Table 1.5: 2006 Existing Traffic and Future 2030 No- build Traffic Arterial Level of Service along the Mainline of Bees Ferry Road 1- 11

Table 1.6: Crash Rates along Bees Ferry Road 1- 13

CHAPTER TWO: Alternatives

Table 2.1: 2006 Existing Traffic and Future 2010 Build Traffic Level of Service and Average Delay 2- 8

Table 2.2: 2020 No- build Traffic and Future 2020 Build Traffic Level of Service and Average Delay 2- 9

Table 2.3: 2030 No- build Traffic and Future 2030 Build Traffic Level of Service and Average Delay 2- 9

CHAPTER THREE: Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences

Table 3.1: Select Population and Housing Characteristics of Study Area 3- 16

Table 3.2: Select Travel Characteristics of Study Area 3- 19

Table 3.3: FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria 3- 24

Table 3.4: Existing/Design Peak Hour Volumes and Speeds 3- 25

Table 3.5: Existing Noise Level Contour Distances 3- 26

Table 3.6: Build and No- Build Noise Level Contour Distances 3- 26

Table 3.7: 2030 Noise Levels for Impacted Receivers	3- 28 to 3- 31
Table 3.8: Field Measurements	3- 34
Table 3.9: Preliminary Noise Wall Evaluation	3- 36
Table 3.10: Comparison of Build Noise Levels with and without Existing Wooden Fence	3- 38
Table 3.11: Final Noise Wall Evaluation	3- 38
Table 3.12: Comparison of Build Noise Levels with and without Noise Barrier Two	3- 39
Table 3.13: National Ambient Air Quality Standards in Comparison to Charleston County	3- 40
Table 3.14: Vehicle Miles Traveled	3- 47
Table 3.15: Potential Contamination Sites	3- 51
Table 3.16: Potential Wetland Impacts	3- 67
Table 3.17: Federally Protected Species Known to Occur or Possibly Occur within Charleston County, South Carolina	3- 71

CHARTS

CHAPTER THREE: Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences

Chart 3.1: Percent Employment by Sector for Study Area (All Census Tract Block Groups Combined)	3- 18
---	-------

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER ONE: Purpose and Need

Figure 1- 1: Project Location Map	1- 2
-----------------------------------	------

Figure 1- 2: Project Area Map	1- 3
	to
	1- 4

CHAPTER TWO: Alternatives

Figure 2- 1: Four Lane Divided Section with Landscaped Median (Alternatives One and Three)	2- 3
Figure 2- 2: Five Lane Section with Center Turn Lane (Alternatives Two and Three)	2- 5
Figure 2- 3: Preferred Alternative	2- 12
	to
	2- 13

CHAPTER THREE: Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences

Figure 3- 1: Intersection Improvements of Bees Ferry Road at Ashley River Road	3- 9
Figure 3- 2: Census Tracts and Block Groups in Study Area	3- 15
Figure 3- 3: Noise Receiver Location Map	3- 32
Figure 3- 4: Hazardous Materials Location Map	3- 52
	to
	3- 53
Figure 3- 5: Wetland Map	3- 61
	to
	3- 62
Figure 3- 6: Floodplains Map	3- 83

LIST OF ACRONYMS

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Form

Appendix B: Agency Letters and Correspondence

Appendix C: Noise Reports

Appendix D: Biological Assessment

Appendix E: Floodplains Checklist